

Minutes of the Management Committee of Charney Hall & Field Trust

Meeting held in the Village Hall on 27th April 2022 at 4.30 pm

Present

Trustees

Neil Dobson (Chair)	Table Tennis Group
Christine Trotman (Treasurer)	Village College
Annabel Brown (Secretary)	Elected Village representative
Mary Castle	Parish Council representative
Lucy Gildersleeves	St. Peter's PCC representative
David Jones	Elected Village representative
David Sibbert	History Group representative

Apologies

Evelyn Campbell	Parish Council representative
-----------------	-------------------------------

1. Amendment of the Land Registry documents for the Village Hall and Charney Field.
DS recapped on the two quotes noted in the Agenda for the amendment of the Land Registry documents and expanded upon in the document Annex 1 sent to trustees prior to the meeting. ND noted that the Parish Council had agreed to share the costs of this up to £500 but this is more than the quote from Solicitor 2 of £280.
The solicitor had advised that two restrictions would be added to the titles to both pieces of land. Additionally, the registrations would be amended to state the titles are held by Charney Bassett Parish Council as Custodian Trustee of Charney Hall & Field Trust.
The next step would be for the solicitor to send a document to the PC seeking their consent to the proposed changes to the Land Registry Documents.
ND asked MC if a formal vote would be needed at full PC meeting in order for the PC to give their formal consent. MC confirmed that this was the case but that the matter could be raised at the following joint meeting with PC.
LG asked if the document copies, mentioned by Solicitor 1, would be supplied by Solicitor 2 (£12 each document) and included in the quote. MC thought that this would be standard practice.
It was proposed that CHAFT pay all of the costs of the amendments of the Land Registry documents if these came to less than £500. Proposed ND/ Seconded LG
Agreed (5 for, one against and one abstention)
It was proposed that the quote from Solicitor 2 be accepted. Proposed DS/ Seconded ND
Agreed
2. Length of term of office for Representative Trustees
As noted in Annex 2, this item was a clarification of the discussion at the last meeting when the term of office for Representative Trustees was by omission not included in the proposal.

There was some discussion as to whether there should be any differences between the term of office for elected, representative or co-opted trustees.

It was proposed that all Trustees should be treated the same as regards terms of office.

Proposed ND/ Seconded CT
Agreed

Discussion then occurred regarding the Term of Office for all Trustees. MC felt that new trustees might find it daunting to commit for 2 years although Trustees may resign at any time during their term of office. CT noted that succession planning is a big issue. AB felt it very important to be encouraging to any community member who showed interest in being involved in CHAFT in any way as it would be good to get younger people involved. There was discussion on whether it would be useful to have staggered terms of office so that not all trustees would have to be elected at each AGM but after some discussion this was thought to be unmanageable.

It was proposed that the status quo remain (all trustees retire at the AGM and then may be re-elected along with new Trustees).

Proposed ND/Seconded LG
Agreed

Meeting ended at 5pm

Signed

Date

Minutes submitted by AB on 30.4.22